

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD**

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

Complainant

vs.

RYAN JON LAMB

Respondent

**Docket Number: 2023-0366
Enforcement Activity Number: 7804126**

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL AND CANCELLING HEARING

On February 14, 2024, the Coast Guard submitted a Motion for Withdrawal (Motion), requesting the above-captioned case be dismissed without prejudice because Respondent voluntarily surrendered his Merchant Mariner Credential (credential) pursuant to 46 C.F.R. § 5.203. The Coast Guard attached to the Motion a Voluntary Surrender Agreement initialed and signed by Respondent on February 14, 2024.

I have reviewed the Motion and Voluntary Surrender Agreement. Respondent acknowledges in the Voluntary Surrender Agreement that the surrender is being made voluntarily in preference to appearing at a hearing; that Respondent is permanently relinquishing all rights to the credential; and that Respondent waives the right to a hearing, appeal, and judicial review. I find the Voluntary Surrender Agreement complies with the requirements of 46 C.F.R. § 5.203, and accordingly, I **GRANT** the Motion.¹

¹ My Order issued November 8, 2023, contains a requirement that the parties confer prior to the filing of any motions, and that motions must contain a certification that the filing party has conferred with the opposing party prior to submitting the motion. The Motion for Withdrawal did not contain this certification; however, the

WHEREFORE,

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this matter is dismissed **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the hearing scheduled to begin on February 21, 2024, in Fort Myers, Florida, is **CANCELLED**.

Done and dated February 15, 2024
Baltimore, Maryland

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Lineka N. Quijano", with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

**HON. LINEKA N. QUIJANO
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD**

Voluntary Surrender Agreement demonstrates Respondent and the Coast Guard discussed this matter prior to the filing of the Motion.